UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,689	10/17/2003	James M. Doherty	1033-T00534C	2688
60533 TOLER LAW (7590 11/17/200 GROUP	EXAMINER		
8500 BLUFFST		GERGISO, TECHANE		
SUITE A201 AUSTIN, TX 78759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2437	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision				
from Pre-Appeal Brief				
Review				

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/605,689	DOHERTY ET AL.
	Art Unit
EMMANUEL L. MOISE	2437
-	_

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed 5 November 2008.			
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu☐ The request does not include reasons why a re☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr☐ Other:	eview is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run fi the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
☐ The panel has determined the status of the cl Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-2, 4-16 and 18-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	laim(s) is as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a				
All participants:				
(1) <u>EMMANUEL L. MOISE</u> .	(3) <u>MATTHEW SMITHERS</u> .			
(2) <u>TECHANE GERGISO</u> .	(4)			
/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437				